

1 Plaintiff then followed up with the following email to movants' counsel:
2 Hiding behind Rayma's skirts while you double team me?
3 What a man! (LOL)
4 BTW, in an effort to help mend bridges between us I suggest you go
5 here, it may help you.²

(Ex. F.)

6 On July 15, movants' counsel received a "supplemental" disclosure. (Ex.
7 G.) The envelope was addressed to "Roger (Rabbit) Matzkind". (Ex. G at p. 11;
8 Matzkind Dec., Ex. A.) The disclosure still does not comply with Fed. R. Civ.
9 P. 26(a)(1)(A)(i) and (iii) in that it still does not specify the subjects of the
10 discoverable information, providing only a post office box for Warren Yates and
11 Tony Lombardo and provides no information regarding damages.

12 II.

13 Pro Per Litigants Must Follow the Same Rules as Attorneys

14 "Pro se litigants must follow the same rules of procedure that govern
15 other litigants." (*King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir.1987) [overruled
16 in part on other grounds at 693 F.3d 896 (9th. Cir. AZ 2012), 2013 U.S. Dist.
17 LEXIS 1646 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 3, 2013).)

18 "Although we construe pleadings liberally in their favor, pro se litigants
19 are bound by the rules of procedure." (*Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th
20 Cir.1995).)

21 "... [A]ll litigants, including pro ses, have an obligation to comply with
22 court orders. When they flout that obligation they, like all litigants, must
23 suffer the consequences of their actions." (*McDonald v. Head Criminal Court
24 Supervisor Officer*, 850 F.2d 121, 123 (2d Cir. 1988).)

25 "... [P]ro se litigants in the ordinary civil case should not be treated
26 more favorably than parties with attorneys of record." (*Jacobsen v. Filler*, 790

27
28 ² The hyperlink is <http://www.webmd.com/skin-problems-and-treatments/acne/features/severe-acne>.