

Badger Flats Gazette

Tuesday, April 20, 2010

Ms. Leslie Dillahunty
WEAKLEY, ARENDT & MCGUIRE, LLP
(Formerly: WEAKLEY, RATLIFF, ARENDT & MCGUIRE, LLP) EMAIL
1630 East Shaw Avenue, Suite 176
Fresno, CA 93710

Re: Our Lady of Fatima Expulsion of Noel & Juston Forte
OLF vs. Forte, CV000941
Jones vs. Forte, CV00864

COPY

Dear Ms. Dillahunty:

On the morning of Monday, March 23rd, 2010, at 10:01AM, my wife and I emailed to Mr. Richard Sexton with copies going to Ms. Lane Windecker and Ms. Kathy Nerell. The email requested that we be informed as to why our children were being denied access to their classes at Our Lady of Fatima School.

The letter also documented that Ms. Connie McGhee, who I later found had surreptitiously had Ms. Lane Windecker, and Ms. Kathy Nerell unannounced in the room, told us during the phone conversation that it was my acts that were barring my children from school, not hers. It was during such conversation that Ms. McGhee demanded that we provide some type of a "letter" saying that our children were no longer under threat of violence.

Prudence dictated that the stealth witnesses for Ms. McGhee, be put on notice that we were aware of their potential complicity in any vengeful and desperate acts against me by Ms. McGhee who should certainly be concerned of the matter of her false arrest of me. I also wish to point out that even though I hold no prejudices against lesbians or homosexuals, a reasonable person would doubt the neutrality of Ms. Nerell, who is widely rumored to be the "alternate life style partner" of Ms. McGhee (so much for "core Catholic values"). *The email was NOT sent to Ms. McGhee, it was directed to Mr. Sexton.*

On Tuesday March 24th, 2010 at 6:55AM my wife and I emailed and faxed a letter again addressed to Mr. Sexton and this time to Ms. McGhee (*directed to her under protest*) requesting that we be provided a draft of the letter that Ms. McGhee said was required prior to our children being allowed to attend school.

The letter that Ms. McGhee demanded was to somehow have us state that our children were no longer in danger from the criminal threats of violence received from Mr. Anthony Donaldson Bates who stated that he did it out of respect and for the honor of his close family friend Mayor Tommy Jones.

As you know and as we discussed, Mayor Jones was your client until January 2009, when your former law partner, Mr. Benjamin Ratliff, left your firm, and took the case of *Forte v. Jones* with him to his now sole practitioner law firm. It needs to be also clarified that Mr. Ratliff stills represents Mr. Jones, and in fact obtained a TRO against me and now as an injunction hearing set for April 22, 2010.

Do I think that the two of you are working together in order to disrupt my litigations against Jones and defame me to the public at large? Yes, I do.

What would be your motive you may coyly ask? One motive would be to start to gear up your defenses to cover for the vengeful acts of Ms. McGhee of falsely arresting me and then throwing my children out of school due to my alleged, but yet unspecified abusive conduct, with Mayor Jones singing in the choir.

Our letter of March 24th, 2010 explained that we did not understand why the letter saying our children were not in danger was required, and it requested that we be provided a draft copy of what OLF/Diocese demanded we sign...and for Mr. Sexton to provide us the page and line number in the Diocese's procedure book where it was covered.

Then, at approximately 11:45AM, on March 24th, 2010, we received a box with our children's school items delivered to our door accompanied by a letter dated March 23rd, 2010 telling us that our children were removed from the school due to some unspecified alleged "abusive behavior" by me that were against the core Catholic values of the school.

There was no mention in the March 23rd, 2010 removal letter of any letter that my wife and I had been demanded to sign. We then sent a fax on March 24th, 2010 again requesting to tell us what conduct of mine was abusive. There was no response. *We had no further contact with OLF until April 12th, 2010.*

Then, on April 12th, 2010, we had an email exchange with Mr. Sexton. Mr. Sexton, in response to my emails that day sent at 8:28AM and 9:00AM, requesting a phone call and response to our letter of April 12th, 2010, had his secretary inform us by email at 10:11AM that he would only communicate with us by email or USPS.

Following Mr. Sexton's directive we then emailed at 12:19PM on April 12th, 2010 to him copies of the letters of March 24, 2010 and April 12th, 2010, again requesting that they be responded to concerning the removal of our children, and to identify what conduct of mine was abusive.

Then, at 1:38PM on April 12th, 2010 I was informed by email by Mr. Sexton that I was to have contact with you and your law firm "with regard to the issue of your (my) contacts and actions at the school."

Upon my contacting you according to such directive, you informed my wife and I that you had been trying to serve me with a Temporary Restraining Order for the past 5 days (presumably since April 7th, 2010) preventing me from going to St. Joseph's Parish or making contact with OLF personnel. I want to emphasize that I have not stepped foot on the grounds of OLF since I last picked up my child Noel on I believe March 19th, 2010, nor have I attended St. Joseph's Church since Ms. McGhee enacted her vengeance upon me on February 28th, 2010.

You first told us that you didn't have any knowledge of matters concerning the removal of our children from OLF and only acquiesced to the knowledge of their removal when I read you the removal letter dated March 23rd, 2010 from Ms. McGhee. Candidly, both my wife and I sensed you actually seemed dumb founded, as if you didn't incredibly have any knowledge of our children being removed by order of Ms. McGhee. *You also stated adamantly that you had nothing to do with Ms. McGhee's Citizen's Arrest of me for criminal trespass after I had purchased a ticket for my child's OLF 6th Grade Pancake Breakfast that I was attending, and in fact, was on the cooking crew for.*

I submit that Ms. McGhee false Citizen's Arrest of me was in retaliation for my writing an article about her and Ms. Nerell's donation of a personal computer which was said to have a Lesbian Pornographic Website on its Favorite list in the "Legend of the Lunging Badger" distributed at the 2009 OLF Silent Auction (Contractual right to distribute sponsorship material by \$5,000.00 donation).

Ms. McGhee's past vindictive and retaliatory conduct in dealing with her indiscretions reveals that she ordered the removal of four OLF Families who dared to question the lesbian pornographic connection between her and her rumored closet alternate lifestyle partner Ms. Nerell.

I submit that is what this is really all about. It is no different than the actions of the Catholic Church in concealing the abuse of children by priests. Ms. McGhee being brought out into the light as to what she and Ms. Nerell did to other OLF Parents that dared to raise questions about anything is where the real issues lie. It is all about Ms. McGhee's abusive behavior.

Ms. McGhee, I assert, thought the climate was perfect for an all out attack upon me, which she believed would be supported, and was in fact supported by the efforts of Commander Gary Brizzee of the Los Banos Police Department and who is also an OLF parent. McGhee had me arrested and removed from the OLF Breakfast because she wanted to remove from the ends of tables the Badger Flats Gazette edition entitled "Death Threats" informing citizens of the death threats made upon me by a student of Mayor Tommy Jones...the same thing that the LBPd did not want to have the public know of, which they didn't investigate or talk to Jones about.

Commander Brizzee, along with other LBPd officers, have participated in aiding and abetting the crimes of Mayor Jones and his supporters against me due to their involvement in trying to cover up that their own LBPd Officer Anthony Parker brutally assaulted me on July 21st, 2009, with Merced County Sherriff John Picinich and an officer from the California Highway Patrol.

Mr. Ratliff is well aware of all of the above matters, and for you to try and proffer one day that you and he have never had any conversations about your joint efforts to defame me to the public with tandem TROs is just not going to be believable.

I have very little doubt that when all the smoke settles, it will be readily discernable to neutral parties that Ms. McGhee threw my children out of OLF because she is a vindictive out of control person that "thinks she was revealed." Someone should tell Ms. McGhee and Ms. Nerell to do a reality check. OLF parents have long been tolerant to Ms. McGhee and Ms. Nerell's alternate life style choice even though I can't imagine that very many old time St. Joseph parishioners would believe that their conduct stayed within the "core values" of the Catholic Church.

For the record, it is now April 20th, 2010 and I have not yet been served with the complaint of OLF v. FORTE. It appears that your lawsuit against me, which has not yet been served, was nothing but another attempt to harass and intimidate me from asking questions that must be answered by the Diocese and OLF as to what specific conduct of mine was abusive that required the removal of my children.

With the above said, prudence dictates that due to the bizarre posturing of your law firm's *purported* legal representation of a stealth suit not yet provided to me, or served upon me, your not responding to my previous inquiries, your admitted non-representation of Ms. McGhee's Citizen's Arrest of me for alleged criminal trespass and your firm's relationship with Mayor Tommy Jones and his counsel Benjamin Ratliff, a copy of this letter is being sent to all parties mentioned so that they are on notice that they very well should consider the legal jeopardy that Ms. McGhee has put them in and seek independent legal counsel.

Quite bluntly, even if I were served a lawsuit by OLF and Ms. McGhee, there is nothing that prevents one non-attorney party from communicating directly with an opposing party even when they are represented by counsel. But, we certainly are not even there yet, and I have not yet been provided any evidence of your lawsuit against me, or the basis for it.

I look forward to a response as to what conduct of mine was abusive. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Gene Forte

Cc: LBPd Chief Mark Knapp, B. Ratliff